Call to order: 3:30 PM

Attendance
Current Required Quorum: 35
Total Attending: 42

Motion to Pass Prior Minutes
- Motion to approve (seconded)
  - Vote via voice (passed / no nays / no abstentions)

Welcome Diversity Council

GPSG Executive Positions Open Now
- Elected positions
  - President
  - Vice President
  - Treasurer
  - Due 2/28 on canvas
- Hired and Appointed Positions
  - Due 3/13 on canvas
  - 3/30 – 4/2 Candidate interviews
- President roles
- Communications roles
- Community development roles

Legislative Measures
- Resolution for a Campus-wide Task Force to Prioritize Improvements to Graduate and Professional Student Funding
  - Purpose of the resolution is to improve the funding situation for professional students
    - Includes arguments about the livable minimum stipends, annual stipends increase, and potential sources of funding
  - Motion for discussion (seconded); no opposition
  - Questions:
    - Is it just the motion to provide the arguments?
      - Yes.
    - What is the survey going to be about in March?
      - To have a full understanding what is the current situation of the graduate students funding
    - Is the survey just doing what the resolution is asking for?
      - No. The survey is collecting the data and the current situation among the campus.
    - Is the resolution going to utilize the survey results?
• Yes
  ▪ When is the survey going to be ready?
    ▪ The survey is moving forward, and it is going to be in March
  ▪ Do you have specific university reps in mind for part of this resolution?
    ▪ Yes.
  ▪ Do we have a timeline for the taskforce and is it going to repeat yearly?
    ▪ Long term solution for the funding.
  ▪ Follow-up: what is timeline for the survey after the completion?
    ▪ Hopefully one year
  ▪ Why don’t we ask the university to create this task force instead of GPSG?
    ▪ GPSG makes sure all the tasks are addressed and up to date.
  ▪ How the data from Big Ten is going to be collected?
    ▪ Chairs and departments head reach to their counterparts in the Big Ten and communicate about their funding situation.
  ▪ What was the response from last year?
    ▪ Work under process; no survey sent out
  ▪ What if the survey result is different from the resolution?
    ▪ Resolution can stand alone, regardless or survey result
  ▪ Who is going to look at the data?
    ▪ Grad students or could be public
  o Discussion:
    ▪ Motion to end discussion and vote (passed/no opposition)
  o Amendments:
    ▪ Motion to Amend (Motion to add a one-year timeline) (seconded) (no objections)
    ▪ Vote – Passes via vocal vote
  o Final Vote Count:
    ▪ 42(For)-0(Against)-0(ABstain)

**Subsidized Professional Headshots**
- 2/13 3:30PM – 6:30PM
- 2/14 1PM – 3PM

**Let’s Talk Grads (Keith McConomy, Health and Wellness Committee Officer)**

**Legislative Measures**
- Resolution to Reduce the Financial Burden of Transcripts at IU
  o Comparing Costs
    ▪ Big Ten
  o Three potential plans
  o Motion for discussion (seconded); no opposition
  o Discussion:
• What are the distinctions?
• Concerns about the plan of $60
• Motion to end discussion and vote (passed / no opposition)
  o Amendments:
    • Motion to Amend (Motion to add explanations of requesting the breakdown of the $10 transcripts costs as a receipt)
    • Vote - passed via vocal vote
  o Motion to amend to change the $60 to lower amount ($50) (seconded)
    • Discussion:
      • Motion to vote (seconded) (no objections)
        o Passed - (no nays / 1 abstains)
  o Motion to amend to remove number two under “Therefore…”
    • Discussion:
      • Motion to vote (seconded) (no objections)
        o Objected - (no abstains)
  o Motion to vote (seconded)
    • Passed / Final Vote: 29(For)-7(Against)-5(Absent)

Meeting Adjourned @ 5:00 PM