

Call to order: 3:30 PM

## **Attendance**

*Current Required Quorum: 35*

*Total Attending: 42*

## **Motion to Pass Prior Minutes**

- Motion to approve (seconded)
  - Vote via voice (passed / no nays / no abstentions)

Welcome Diversity Council

## **GPSG Executive Positions Open Now**

- Elected positions
  - President
  - Vice President
  - Treasurer
  - Due 2/28 on canvas
- Hired and Appointed Positions
  - Due 3/13 on canvas
  - 3/30 – 4/2 Candidate interviews
- President roles
- Communications roles
- Community development roles

## **Legislative Measures**

- Resolution for a Campus-wide Task Force to Prioritize Improvements to Graduate and Professional Student Funding
  - Purpose of the resolution is to improve the funding situation for professional students
    - Includes arguments about the livable minimum stipends, annual stipends increase, and potential sources of funding
  - Motion for discussion (seconded); no opposition
  - Questions:
    - Is it just the motion to provide the arguments?
      - Yes.
    - What is the survey going to be about in March?
      - To have a full understanding what is the current situation of the graduate students funding
    - Is the survey just doing what the resolution is asking for?
      - No. The survey is collecting the data and the current situation among the campus.
    - Is the resolution going to utilize the survey results?

- Yes
- When is the survey going to be ready?
  - The survey is moving forward, and it is going to be in March
- Do you have specific university reps in mind for part of this resolution?
  - Yes.
- Do we have a timeline for the taskforce and is it going to repeat yearly?
  - Long term solution for the funding.
- Follow-up: what is timeline for the survey after the completion?
  - Hopefully one year
- Why don't we ask the university to create this task force instead of GPSG?
  - GPSG makes sure all the tasks are addressed and up to date.
- How the data from Big Ten is going to be collected?
  - Chairs and departments head reach to their counterparts in the Big Ten and communicate about their funding situation.
- What was the response from last year?
  - Work under process; no survey sent out
- What if the survey result is different from the resolution?
  - Resolution can stand alone, regardless of survey result
- Who is going to look at the data?
  - Grad students or could be public
- Discussion:
  - Motion to end discussion and vote (passed/no opposition)
- Amendments:
  - Motion to Amend (Motion to add a one-year timeline) (seconded) (no objections)
  - Vote – Passes via vocal vote
- Final Vote Count:
  - 42(For)-0(Against)-0(Abstain)

### **Subsidized Professional Headshots**

- 2/13 3:30PM – 6:30PM
- 2/14 1PM – 3PM

### **Let's Talk Grads (Keith McConomy, Health and Wellness Committee Officer)**

#### **Legislative Measures**

- Resolution to Reduce the Financial Burden of Transcripts at IU
  - Comparing Costs
    - Big Ten
  - Three potential plans
  - Motion for discussion (seconded); no opposition
  - Discussion:

- What are the distinctions?
- Concerns about the plan of \$60
- Motion to end discussion and vote (passed / no opposition)
- Amendments:
  - Motion to Amend (Motion to add explanations of requesting the breakdown of the \$10 transcripts costs as a receipt)
  - Vote - passed via vocal vote
- Motion to amend to change the \$60 to lower amount (\$50) (seconded)
  - Discussion:
    - Motion to vote (seconded) (no objections)
      - Passed - (no nays / 1 abstains)
- Motion to amend to remove number two under “Therefore...”
  - Discussion:
    - Motion to vote (seconded) (no objections)
      - Objected - (no abstains)
- Motion to vote (seconded)
  - Passed / Final Vote: 29(For)-7(Against)-5(Abstain)

Meeting Adjourned @ 5:00 PM